ABSTRACT

Introduction: The vertical heights and the proportions are very important for balance of the face and attractiveness along with the good smile. Harmonious vertical proportions and the Antero-posterior relations contribute to aesthetics. Therefore it is necessary to determine the vertical facial height and the ratios in treatment planning of the patients. The aims and objectives of this study are to determine the vertical facial heights of patients considering the Lower Anterior Facial Height (LAFH), the Upper Anterior Facial Height (UAFH), Total Anterior Facial Height (TAFH) and Posterior Facial Height (PFH) among the sexes in Class I skeletal group obtained from cephalometric analysis.

Materials & Method: The study comprised of 52 patients (24 males and 28 females) and lateral cephalometric radiographs were traced with Skeletal Class I relationship. Cephalometric analysis was performed by using hand tracing. Independent t tests were used for comparisons.

Result: The total anterior facial height (TAFH), lower anterior facial height (LAFH), upper anterior facial height (UAFH), total posterior facial height (TPFH) measurements showed no significant differences between both sexes in Skeletal Class I group but statistically significant difference in ratios of posterior facial height and total anterior facial height and also there was statistically significant differences in the ratio between upper facial height and the total anterior facial height (p value <0.05).

Conclusion: This cephalometric analysis can be applied to determine the vertical height of occlusion which can be helpful in prosthetic rehabilitation of edentulous patients.
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INTRODUCTION

There is always a desire to improve with the demand of a patient who come to us with a problem, to have better facial expression, harmony of the face, better smile as well as functions. Here the vertical pattern and the proportions has a major role in harmony of the face and its attractiveness along with the smile to give the beauty1,2 a well balanced face always includes a harmonious vertical proportions and the Antero-posterior relations of the jaws. A good knowledge of optimal facial proportions in their population can be very helpful in the treatment planning to improve aesthetic as well as to regain functions.3

Vertical dimensions included Anterior Facial Height (AFH) and Posterior Facial Height (PFH). In cephalometry anterior Facial Height (AFH) is divided in to upper anterior facial height (UAFH) and lower anterior facial height (LAFH). Determination of the vertical occlusion dimension is a very important, in order to regain the proper function, physiology as well as the aesthetics4,5 for the patients with the tooth loss.

Tooth loss and the loss of support to the soft tissue provided by the natural dentition cause the alteration of vertical dimension including changes in hard and soft tissue of face and jaw region which leads to drastic changes in the vertical dimension of occlusion.

The determination of correct vertical dimension of occlusion has always been of great importance as well as challenge and also for the fabrication of prosthodontic rehabilitation5,6.

Any errors in the vertical dimension can affect the stomatognatic system leading to temporomandibular joint disorder, excessive bone resorption, muscle dysfunction, altered phonetics and inappreciable aesthetics with difficulty in mastication and deglutition. Whereas reconstruction of original occlusion and Vertical Occlusal dimension by a proper prosthesis leads to a better adaptation, recovery of the functions with satisfaction.
The aim of this research was to determine vertical Dimension of Occlusion for predictable occlusal rehabilitation and to compare the Anterior Facial Height (AFH) and Posterior Facial Height (PFH) in both sexes.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

The study was performed in 52 Lateral Cephalograms collected from KIST Medical college and teaching hospital where 24 were male and 28 were females. The age range was between 25 – 35 years. The inclusion criteria were skeletal class I jaw relationship (ANB = 2°- 4 °), facial and jaw symmetry, no history of previous orthodontic, Prosthodontic treatment or any surgical reconstruction or maxillofacial surgery. The Cephalograms were traced manually on acetate paper using an X-Ray viewer. To assess the error, 20 lateral Cephalograms were randomly selected then re tracing was done followed by the measurements recheck. The linear measurements were recorded with the help of mm ruler and angular measurements with the help of protractor.

The following anatomical points were determined in the Cephalograms (FIGURE-1)

1. Nasion (N) – the most anterior point of the naso frontal suture in the midsagittal plane,
2. Sella (S) the middle of the sella turcica contour in the medial plane
3. Anterior Nasal Spine (ANS)
4. Gonion (Go)
5. Menton (Me)

The following linear skeletal cephalometric parameters were examined (Figure - 2)

1. Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH): Nasion (N) to Menton (Me)
   Linear distance in millimeters from point Nasion (N) and point Menton (Me) [8]
2. Total Posterior Facial height (TPFH): Sella (S) to Gonion (Go)
   Linear distance in millimeters from point Sella (S) to point Gonion (Go)
3. Upper Anterior Facial Height (UAFH): Nasion (N) to Anterior Nasal Spine (ANS) [9]
   Linear distance in millimeters from point Nasion (N) to point Anterior Nasal Spine (ANS)
4. Lower Anterior Facial height (LAFH): Anterior Nasal Spine (ANS) to Menton (Me) [9]
   Linear distance in millimeters from point Anterior Nasal Spine (ANS) to point Menton (Me)
RESULT

Sagittal Skeletal jaw relationship was determined by using ANB value between 2°-4°. The minimum value of SNA angle is 71° and maximum is 93° with mean and standard deviation 82.4 ±5.28 whereas the minimum value of SNB angle is 68° and maximum is 91° with mean and standard deviation 79.93 ±5.40. The range of ANB angle is 2°- 4° with mean and standard deviation 2.60 ±0.81 (Table 1).

The mean value of posterior facial height was 72.23 with standard deviation 0.70 whereas the mean value of the anterior facial height was 51.78 with standard deviation 0.71. Similarly the mean and standard deviation of the lower anterior facial height and the upper anterior facial height were 60.46 ± 0.59 and 51.78 ± 0.79 respectively. (Table 2)

The mean value of Posterior Facial height (PFH) is greater in male than in female (male :75.16 ± 0.79 , female: 69.71± 0.49). The mean value of Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) is greater in female than in male (male:49.75 ±0.41 , female 53.53 ±0.98). The mean value of Lower Anterior Facial height (LAFH) is almost similar in both sexes ( male: 60.83± 0.67 , female:60.14 ±0.51). The mean value of Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) is almost similar in both sexes (male :106.87± 0.84 ,female:104.57 ± 0.57). Statistical analysis of data between sexes showed that there is no significant differences in Upper Anterior Facial height (UAH), Lower Anterior Facial height (LAFH) and Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) and linear dimensions (N-Me, N-ANS, ANS-Me) but statistically significant difference in Posterior Facial height (PFH) between sexes (S-Go p value *0.04 ) (Table 3).

The mean ratio of Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) and Posterior Facial height (PFH) in male is 1.42± 0.11 whereas in female is 1.50± 0.13. Students t test was performed and there was significant differences in the ratio between Posterior Facial height (PFH) and the Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) between sexes (p value is *0.027). The mean ratio of Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) and Lower Anterior Facial Height (LAFH) in male is 0.82± 0.08 whereas in female is 0.90± 0.20.

The mean ratio of Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) and Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) in male is 0.46± 0.02 whereas in female is 0.51± 0.10. There was statistically significant differences in the ratio between...
Table 4: Showing cephalometric analysis of the Total Anterior Facial Height (TAFH) to Posterior Facial Height (PFH) in relation to gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) vs Posterior Facial height (PFH)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.4294</td>
<td>0.11069</td>
<td>-2.274</td>
<td>*0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.5071</td>
<td>0.13222</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) vs Lower Anterior Facial Height (LAFH)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.8243</td>
<td>0.08676</td>
<td>-1.672</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.9004</td>
<td>0.20752</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) vs Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.4662</td>
<td>0.02900</td>
<td>-2.374</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.5137</td>
<td>0.10112</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Anterior Facial Height (LAFH) vs Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5683</td>
<td>0.03168</td>
<td>-0.767</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.5745</td>
<td>0.02653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) and the Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) (p value is less than * 0.024). The mean Lower Anterior Facial Height (LAFH) and Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) ratio in male is 0.56± 0.03 whereas in female is 0.57 ±0.02 (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The present study found the facial height parameters in both sexes that is the Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) and Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH), the Lower Anterior Facial Height (LAFH) and the Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) in Skeletal Class I group showed no significant differences .This agrees with the study done in Nigerian population by Obaidi et al and Yemitan Ta et al and also there was no correlation found on Upper Anterior Facial height (UAFH) versus Lower Anterior Facial Height (LAFH) as the study done by Donald et al. 12,10

This study also concludes that there is a statistically significant difference between Posterior Facial height (PFH) in between sexes. Posterior Facial height (PFH) is determined by lowering of the temporomandibular fossa and condylar growth. When condylar growth outspaces maxillary descent and eruption of the posterior teeth, forward rotation of the mandible occurs. Conversely, if dentoalveolar growth and growth of the maxillary sutures is greater than the growth at the condyles, backward rotation of the mandible is the result. Schudy et al Björk et al ans Solow et al 13-15

This study also concludes that there is a significant difference in ratio of Posterior Facial height (PFH) and Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) between males and females. This is also in agreement with the study done by Kharbanda et al. 16

This study also determined that the Posterior Facial height (PFH) in male is 75.16±79 whereas in females 69.7 ±49, Upper Anterior Facial Height(UAFH) in male is 49.75 ±0.41 whereas in female is 53.53 ±98, Lower Anterior Facial Height(LAFH) in male is 60.83 ±0.67 whereas in female is 60.14 ± 0.51 and the Total Anterior Facial height (TAFH) in male is 106.87 ±0.57 and in female is 104.57 ± 0.57(Table 3). These values can be helpful in prosthodontic treatment of edentulous patients for rehabilitation of vertical dimension of occlusion along with other techniques.

CONCLUSION

Thus, the present study provides the clinician with a more specific reference in the vertical dimension of the face and the relationship of facial heights and its ratios in Skeletal Class I patients which would be helpful for rehabilitation of the missing natural dentition.
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