INTRODUCTION
Malocclusion whether involving dental and/or skeletal component may lead to various problems like poor oral function, poor facial appearance, temporomandibular dysfunction, problems with mastication, swallowing, speech; susceptibility to trauma, periodontal disease or decay; and psychological problems. It is the most common dental problem with high prevalence.1

The need for orthodontic treatment is usually assessed with the help of various indices. Some of them are handicapping Labio-labial Deviation Index,2 Swedish Medical Board Index (SMBI),3 Dental Aesthetic Index (DAI),4 Index of Orthodontic Treatment Need (IOTN)5 and Index of Complexity, Outcome and Need (ICON).6 These indices help in identifying the orthodontic treatment need and plan orthodontic services. IOTN; developed by Peter Brook and William Shaw was initially called as Index of Orthodontic Treatment Priority, is now widely used for clinical and epidemiological purposes.2

Several studies have been conducted to measure the prevalence and severity of malocclusion in different populations,8-14 but no study has been found to assess the severity of malocclusion in patients undergoing orthodontic treatment. This study aims to find the treatment need of patients who are undergoing orthodontic treatment so as to assess the severity of malocclusion.

MATERIALS AND METHOD
The study was started after ethical clearance and approval from the Research Committee of BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences. The sample consisted of 207 patients who were undergoing orthodontic treatment in the Department of Orthodontics, College of Dentistry, BPKIHS, Dharan. The study models and photographs of the patients from the records of the department were used in assessment of IOTN. Records of patients with poor quality photographs and broken/missing study models were excluded.
Table 1: Distribution of DHC among male and female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of AC among male and female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
<th>Grade 6</th>
<th>Grade 7</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 9</th>
<th>Grade 10</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Correlation of IOTN with gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>Sig 2-tailed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DHC and AC in male</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHC and AC in female</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHC and AC in total</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at p<0.05

Figure 1: Distribution of Dental Health Component

Figure 2: Distribution of Aesthetic Component
The two components of IOTN viz: Dental Health Component (DHC) and Aesthetic component (AC) were both evaluated by Principal Investigator only. DHC was assessed with the study models and classified into five categories according to the severity; i.e. Grade 1- no treatment need; Grade 2- mild/little treatment need; Grade 3- moderate/borderline treatment need; Grade 4- severe treatment need; Grade 5- extreme treatment need. AC was assessed with the intraoral frontal photograph by comparing with the 10-grade reference photographs of different dental attractiveness. Intra-examiner reliability was assessed by calculating kappa statistics in which records of 50 patients were reassessed after 15 days. The Kappa value of intra-examiner reliability was found to be 0.70 for AC and 0.76 for DHC showing substantial agreement. All the data were analyzed with SPSS Version 20.

### RESULT

Out of the records of 207 selected patients; two third were female (71 male and 136 female). On examining the DHC, it was found that 1 patient (0.5%) had no need; 20 patients (9.7%) had mild/little need, 50 patients (24.2%) had moderate/borderline need, 97 (46.9%) had severe need, and 39 patients (18.8%) had extreme treatment need (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Similarly the grading of AC were: 7 patients (3.4%) AC-1, 18 patients (8.7%) AC-2, 13 patients (6.3%) AC-3, 32 patients (15.5%) AC-4, 34 patients (16.4%) AC-5, 25 patients (12.1%) AC-6, 18 patients (8.7%) AC-7, 35 patients (16.9%) AC-8, 15 patients (7.2%) AC-9, and 10 patients (4.8%) AC-10 (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Relationship between DHC and AC grades with gender showed increased number of females in each grade. Also there was a significant positive correlation between DHC and AC values (Table 3).

### DISCUSSION

The prevalence of malocclusion varies according to the population.15,19 The prevalence of malocclusion in Nepal varies from 73% to 90.4%.1,20,21 Planning orthodontic treatment in the population requires data regarding malocclusion and its severity at the community level. Several studies were done to assess the severity of malocclusion in the population.13,22,24 These data show the picture present in the community, but studies revealing similar data among orthodontic clinics are scarce.14,21

This study was done to assess the severity of malocclusion among the orthodontic patients in a tertiary referral center of eastern Nepal. It should be noted that, those who possess malocclusion may not present to the orthodontic clinic and among those who presented, may not go ahead with the treatment. Thus this study reports the status of those who are undergoing orthodontic treatment.

A survey among 2050 people of United Kingdom showed that 45% of adults are unhappy with their teeth, 20% would like to have some form of orthodontic treatment done.25 However, very less people actually go ahead for orthodontic treatment. Many studies found both patients and parents to be satisfied with the orthodontic treatment.26,27 Because of poor socioeconomic condition; many of those who actually need orthodontic treatment could not afford the treatment.28

Although orthodontist considers esthetics, function and oral health in evaluating the need for orthodontic treatment, most patients are bothered about esthetics only and it is the prime reason for seeking the treatment.29 Because of the subjective nature of esthetic assessment, some patients may feel a ‘great need’ for treatment even though he/she falls under ‘no need’ category according an orthodontist.30 This might be the reason for few patients with ‘no treatment need’ being treated in the orthodontic clinic.

When comparing the data of eastern Nepal regarding the severity of malocclusion, there is a great difference in grades among the people in community and those who present in orthodontic clinic. It is obvious that those who have less need of treatment are unlikely to present themselves to the orthodontist. The percentage of patients who presented to the hospital and who are undergoing orthodontic treatment is more or less the same at each grade of DHC (Table 4).

The number of orthodontic patients with greater needs of orthodontic treatment is very high. With a very few numbers of orthodontists in more than 26 million population of the country; providing the orthodontic care is challenging.32,33 The high cost of orthodontic treatment makes it difficult to the low socioeconomic group which is beyond the reach of the common people. Further, the accumulation of orthodontists in urban areas has made the difficulty in access for rural people to orthodontic services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Grade I</th>
<th>Grade II</th>
<th>Grade III</th>
<th>Grade IV</th>
<th>Grade V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence in population</td>
<td>15.02%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>24.07%</td>
<td>24.67%</td>
<td>21.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presented to the hospital</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergoing treatment (present study)</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison of IOTN - DHC data of Eastern Nepal
CONCLUSION
Among the eastern Nepalese patients who were undergoing orthodontic treatment, the majority is in ‘severe treatment need’; contrarily very few do not need orthodontic treatment.
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