INTRODUCTION

The ideal treatment of pulpally infected tooth is root canal system. However, reinfection of the tooth leads to endodontic failure. The preferred treatment of failing endodontic cases is nonsurgical retreatment. Though most of the retreatment have successful outcome; complexity of root canal system, inadequate instrumentation, improper filling and presence of physical barriers lead to the failure of nonsurgical treatment. In these cases surgical endodontic therapy becomes the gold standard.

Surgical endodontic therapy provides three-dimensional obturation of radicular space which seals root canal system apically, coronally and laterally and alternatively we achieve tight seal which is most essential for long-term success of endodontic treatment.

To achieve successful surgical endodontic treatment apical 3 mm should be resected and followed by retrograde root-end filling that provides hermetic seal between apical portion of root canal and periapical tissues and prevents infiltration of microbacteria and its byproducts. The angle of bevel increases due to the permeability of dentinal tubules so root should be resected perpendicular to the long axis of the root with an ideal material and root-end cavity preparation is a crucial step in the thickness establishment of apical seal in periapical surgery. After surgical exposure and resection of involved apex, the ideal preparation is a Class-I cavity prepared along the long axis of the tooth to a depth of at least 3 mm. The efficient retrograde sealing of root canal following apicoectomy is a major factor in surgical endodontic failure and search for ideal material that seals the root canal foramen is still being researched. However, the success also depends on operator’s skill.

The ideal material for retrograde sealing should be nontoxic, noncarcinogenic, biocompatible and should prevent leakage of microorganisms and its byproducts to the apical tissue. Sealing ability of material should not change due to tissue fluids or the moisture in the environment. Also material
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The study was carried out in the Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Kantipur Dental College Teaching Hospital & Research Center, Kathmandu from September 2 to October 23, 2015. Ninety four maxillary anterior human permanent teeth having radiographically single root canal (Type-I) with no evidence of previous root canal treatment were selected for the study.

RESULT

The apical dye penetration on experimental root-end filling materials of 3 mm thickness is shown in Table 1. In Group-1, MTA scored as acceptable because all 30 samples did not show any leakage beyond retrofilling material, whereas, in Group-2, GIC showed 5 (6.66%) out of 30 samples were unacceptable because of dye penetration beyond retrofilling material. In Group-3 Super EBA showed 12 (40%) out of 30 samples were unacceptable because of dye penetration beyond retrofilling material, whereas, in Group-2, MTA showed 10 (33.33%) out of 30 samples were unacceptable because of dye penetration beyond retrofilling material. In Group-3 Super EBA showed 12 (40%) out of 30 samples were unacceptable because of dye penetration beyond retrofilling material. Positive control sample showed dye leakage throughout the length of canals, while negative control samples had no any dye penetration.
test which revealed a statistically non-significant difference between Group-1 (MTA) and Group-2 (GIC) \((p=0.062)\), which means that both root-end filling materials MTA and GIC have no significant difference in sealing ability. However, significant difference was observed between Group-1 (MTA) and Group-3 (Super EBA) \((P=0.001)\) and between Group-2 (GIC) and Group-3 (Super EBA) \((P=0.045)\); which mean that, Super EBA has low sealing ability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group-1</td>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group-2</td>
<td>GIC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25 (83.33%)</td>
<td>5 (16.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group-3</td>
<td>Super EBA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Test significance for dye leakage among the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inter-group</th>
<th>(p)-Value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group-1 &amp; Group-2</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group-1 &amp; Group-3</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group-2 &amp; Group-3</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant at \(p<0.05\)

DISCUSSION

One of the ideal endodontic approach in pulpally infected tooth is root canal treatment, with the aim of providing proper bacterial tight seal; so that there is no communication between root canal and periapical tissue. However, conventional root canal treatment and retreatment sometimes fails to eradicate the persistent periapical lesions due to complexity of root canal systems, presence of numerous lateral canals at apical third of root. In such cases, surgical intervention is one of the alternative approach which entails resection of few millimeter of apical third and retrograde root-end filling which provides hermetic apical seal to prevent passage of microorganisms and their biproducts into periapical tissues.

For hermetic apical seal numerous retrograde filling materials have been investigated and suggested by many leakage studies and among them dye leakage test is the one. Varieties of dye used are: Indian ink, Erythrosine B solution, aqueous solution of Fuchsin, Fluorescent solution, Methylene blue solution etc. Shrestha and Mala used Rhodamine-B dye for dye penetration method to evaluate sealing ability of root canal sealers.\(^{14}\) Kersten and Moorer found that the leakage of commonly used dye methylene blue was comparable with that of a small bacterial metabolic product of similar molecular size.\(^{15}\) So, we also used 1% methylene blue as dye to analyze the sealing ability of experimental retrograde filling materials.
Tidmarsh et al has suggested that, with the increased angle of bevel subsequently, apical leakage also increase due to greater apical surface and greater number of dentinal tubules and its permeability.16 So, in the present study, we resected all root-ends perpendicular to the long axis of root and prepared 3 mm depth of apical cavities.

Szeremata-Browar et al reported that; due to the number of disadvantages of amalgam as root-end filling material; EBA has been considered as alternative material. Leakage studies on the use of EBA cement as retrograde filling material show conflicting results.17 Wu et al found glass ionomer cements and MTA had less leakage compared to amalgam and EBA cement.18 Fischer et al stated that; 3 mm thickness of MTA was the most effective root-end filling material against penetration of Serratiamarcescens than 3 mm thickness of amalgam, IRM and EBA cements.19 Therefore, it can be interpreted that the result of above studies are almost similar to the present study as our data revealed MTA had less dye leakage compared to GIC and EBA cements. However, further clinically-based in vivo studies with proper data are necessary.

**CONCLUSION**

MTA has potential to provide a better hermetic seal than GIC and EBA cement as root-end filling materials of 3 mm thickness. GIC had better sealing ability than Super EBA.
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