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ABSTRACT

A young lady presented to Department of Orthodontics with a chief complain of gap between upper and lower front teeth. On analysis she had Class I dental and skeletal malocclusion with proclined and forwardly placed incisors, and open bite. The treatment was carried out with extraction of all four first premolars and retraction was done with the help of mini-implant screws. Successful correction of open bite was achieved with “drawbridge effect”.

INTRODUCTION

Open bite is a malocclusion characterized by lack of vertical overlap between the maxillary and mandibular dentition. Openbite may be anterior or posterior. Profit defined anterior open bite as “no vertical overlap of incisors”. An anterior open bite (AOB) is a negative overbite between the incisal edges of the maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth, with the posterior teeth in occlusion. The prevalence of anterior open bite ranges from 1.5% to 11% and varies among ethnic groups, and by age and dentition. The various etiological factors thought to be responsible for causing open bite includes unfavorable growth pattern, heredity, digital habits, and tongue function. Some studies have found a correlation between oro-facial musculature and facial structures, suggesting a relationship between weak musculature and a long face anterior bite pattern.7, 8

For the successful treatment of open bite, it is necessary to identify the contribution of skeletal component. As such, Sassouni classified open bite into dental and skeletal. Dental open bite is associated with some or all of the following clinical features:1,10-13 normal craniofacial pattern, proclined incisors, under-erupted anterior teeth, normal or slightly excessive molar height, mesial inclination of posterior dentition, failure of eruption of teeth without known etiology, divergent upper and lower occlusal planes, no gummy smile, no vertical maxillary excess, thumb and finger sucking habits, tongue thrusting habit, without remarkable cephalometric findings. Skeletal open bite is associated with one or more of the following features:1,10-14 steep mandibular plane angle, increased gonial angle, short mandibular ramus, downward rotation of the posterior part of the maxilla or palatal plane tipped up anteriorly, increased lower anterior facial height, decreased upper anterior facial height, increased anterior and decreased posterior facial heights, increased flexure of the cranial base (Na-S-Ba), steep anterior cranial base, shorter nasion-basion distance, small mandibular body and ramus and retrognathic mandible.

The treatment of anterior open bite is dependent on the etiology of malocclusion, age and the expectation of the patient. Mizrahi described four modalities of treatment: growth modulation; orthodontic mechanotherapy; orthognathic surgery and the combination of two or more of the above.

It is important to determine which form of treatment is the most suitable for each individual case. In case of dental anterior open bite in adult patients, extraction and retraction have been recommended to reduce overjet and open bite and elongate anterior teeth by a “drawbridge effect”.11, 16, 17 A case report is presented here where the management of dental open bite is carried out by extraction and drawbridge effect.
CASE REPORT

A young lady (DOB February 16, 1990) was presented to our department with the chief complain of gap between upper the lower front teeth (Figure 1).

On examination she had mesoprosopic face with convex profile. On intra-oral examination, she had Angle’s Class I molar relationship with anterior open bite of 5 mm with obvious lip strain. On cephalometric analysis, she had ANB of 5°, normal growth pattern (SN-GoGn 33°) and proclined and forwardly placed upper (30°/7mm) as well as lower incisors (37°/7mm).

TREATMENT OBJECTIVES

1. To obtain normal overjet and overbite to correct open bite
2. To achieve normally inclined and normally placed incisors

TREATMENT PROCEDURE

Extraction of all four first premolars and absolute anchorage with mini-implant screws were planned. Following steps were followed during the clinical procedure:

1. All first premolars were extracted and banding and bonding of brackets were done on March 31, 2012 (Figure 2).

2. Mini implant mini-screws were placed in infrazygomatic crest (10 mm) on May 30, 2012. The infrazygomatic miniscrews were preferred in place of interdental miniscrews considering the need for intrusion in the future.

Figure 1: Pretreatment facial and intra-oral photographs

Figure 2: Treatment procedure with extraction of first premolars and bonding of brackets

Figure 3: Placements of mini implants
3. Bands were placed in upper second molars, aligned, 0.019” x 0.025” SS wire placed and upper posterior intrusion was started on December 4, 2012 (Figure 4).

4. Lower Mini implant screws were placed between first and second molars on both sides on January 13, 2013. Retraction started in both the arches (Figure 5).

5. On August 10, 2013, the normal overjet and overbite was obtained (Figure 6).

Table 7: Distribution of subjects according to food habits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SNA</th>
<th>SNB</th>
<th>ANB</th>
<th>SN-GoGn</th>
<th>Occlusal Plane</th>
<th>Upper Incisors</th>
<th>Lower Incisors</th>
<th>Inter-incisal angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>18.80</td>
<td>310/7mm</td>
<td>370/7mm</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>200/2mm</td>
<td>20.50/4mm</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:**

Anterior open bite is considered to be one of the most difficult treatments. Proper diagnosis and treatment planning, successful treatment, and retention have been stressed for the long-term stability of open bite treatment. There are several factors that could be related to the development of open bite. Among these are an unfavorable mandibular growth pattern, heredity, imbalance between jaw postures, digit-sucking habits, nasopharyngeal airway obstruction, tongue posture and activity and head position.

Various treatment modalities have been proposed for the correction of anterior open bites. Mizrahi described four modalities of treatment as growth modulation; orthodontic mechanotherapy; orthognathic surgery and combination of two or more of the above. It is important to determine which form of treatment is the most suitable for each individual case. Sarver and Weissman proposed some useful guidelines for the non-surgical treatment in adult patients with open bite who have no potential for growth modification. They discussed clinical results using extraction and retraction for dental open bite correction. It is emphasized that there are limited
number of this type of treatment. Patients who are candidates for this type of therapy should meet the following criteria: proclined or procumbent maxillary or mandibular incisors, little or no gingival display upon smiling, normal craniofacial pattern, and no more than 2-3 mm of maxillary incisor exposure at rest. This case generally fulfilled these criteria. Accordingly, the treatment plan was developed and successful result was obtained. Although slight degree of intrusion was done, there was no change in the mandibular plane angle in this case.

Long-term stability of AOB extraction treatment for permanent dentition has been documented18. After a mean period of 8 years, 74.2% of the sample had a “clinically stable” open bite correction.

CONCLUSION:
Drawbridge effect in the treatment of anterior dental open bite is an accepted treatment modality. When there is no skeletal discrepancy and the incisors are proclined and forwardly placed, the anterior open bite can be effectively corrected with this approach.
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